READING BOROUGH COUNCIL

Consultation with Schools: Responding to DFE Reform of School Funding: 2nd October 2013

Introduction

The purpose of this consultation is to gather views from **primary and secondary** schools and other interested parties on proposals for changing school funding arrangements in Reading. These proposals have been prepared in response to a review of the formula for 2013-14. The formula for 2013-14 reflected the new requirements from the Department for Education (DfE) that had to be implemented from April 2013 as part of the process towards a national formula for funding schools due to be implemented in 2015-16.

The review of the formula was against information regarding other LAs' formulae provided by the DfE. The LA intends to adhere to the principle of limiting turbulence except where the LA's formula deviates substantially from other LAs. There are two factors where the LA diverges significantly from other LAs: the lump sum and low prior attainment. The consultation document contains proposals regarding these factors.

There is also a mandatory change to the 'mobility' (non-routine admissions) factor that unavoidably will result in some turbulence. As this change is mandated we are not consulting on this proposal.

There will be no further changes to the formula.

Additional Information

The consultation documents can also be found on the RBC website by following this link: <u>http://www.reading.gov.uk/council/performance-and-spending/education-budgets-and-finance/funding-consultations/</u>

Responses

A separate response form accompanies this consultation, and you are asked to return your signed reply, **by 23rd October 2013,** to:

```
Chris Pett, Schools Accountant, Reading Borough Council, Civic Centre, Reading
RG1 7TD
```

We would very much appreciate responses in advance of the deadline.

Who should respond to this consultation?

Headteachers in consultation with governors. Other relevant organisations, including academies and All Saints Junior Free School.

Queries

If you have any queries on this consultation, please contact

Chris Pett, Schools Accountant 0118 937 4706 Email: chris.pett@reading.gov.uk

List of Contents

Item	Paragraph
Summary of 2013-14 formula changes	1
Outline of DfE funding changes for 2014-15	7
Detailed proposals for change: -	23
- low prior attainment	25
- lump sum	32
Next steps results of consultation	38

List of Appendices

Ref Item Page

- 1 Allowable factors in LA Funding Formulas from April 2014
- 2 Membership of the Reading Schools Formula Group
- 3. Impact assessment of mandatory change to the mobility factor
- 4 Impact assessments of consultation proposals sent separately

Summary of 2013-14 changes

- 1. The process towards a national formula for funding schools began in 2013-14. As a result the LA had to use a much more limited range of allowable factors compared to the previous year.
- 2. In order to limit turbulence the LA followed the principle of using the nearest comparable allowable factor to the factor that was used in 2012-13.
- 3. The LA was required to delegate through the formula some funding that was previously retained and spent by the LA.
- 4. The LA delegated bands 4, 5 and part of 6 SEN funding through the 'per pupil' and deprivation factors of the formula. This was to help schools meet their increased responsibilities under the new SEN funding arrangements to support children with SEN from their delegated budget shares before applying for top-ups from the High Cost/Needs block for the High Cost/Needs children.
- 5. The LA mapped the allocations from the previous formula to a proposed formula for 2013-14 and then consulted schools. The consultation documents can be found by following this link: <u>http://www.reading.gov.uk/council/performance-and-spending/education-budgets-and-finance/funding-consultations/2013-14-formula-funding-consultation/</u>
- 6. Funding had to be based on the previous October census data rather than the previous January. This enabled the LA to notify schools of their final budget allocations earlier than before.

Outline of DfE changes for 2014-15

- 7. The government has announced a limited number of changes to school funding in 2014-15.
- 8. The government has introduced a new 'sparsity' factor that enables additional funding to be provided to schools with reference to the average distance to pupils' second nearest school. A review of the data provided by the DfE revealed this factor would not be applicable to Reading. Appendix 1 contains a complete list of allowable factors for 2014-15.
- 9. The government has set minimum levels for the Primary and Secondary school per pupil factors at £2,000 and £3,000 respectively. The 'per pupil' rates in 2013-14 in the RBC formula were: Primary £3,226, KS3 £3,630 and KS4 £4,309, therefore, RBC complied with these levels.
- 10. As a result of changes to the Early Years Foundation Profile (EYFSP), the low prior attainment factor for primary schools if used would be applicable to pupils in year 1 not achieving a good level of development within the EYFSP. The options for pupils in years 2 to 5 remain as they were in 2013-14 i.e. funding would be applicable to pupils who achieved either fewer than 78 points or 73 points within the EYFSP.
- 11. The low prior attainment factor for secondary schools has been changed so that if used it would be applicable to pupils not reaching L4 in either English *or* maths rather than both English *and* maths.

- 12. The pupil mobility factor (aka non-routine admissions factor) has been amended so that it may be targeted only at schools with pupil mobility above a 10% threshold.
- 13. With regard to lump sums the upper limit has been set at £175,000 while LAs will be allowed, in a change from 2013-14, to use different lump sums for primary and secondary schools. The lump sum used in the RBC formula in 2013-14 was £48,480.
- 14. The DSG will continue to be split into three blocks. The level of per pupil FTE for the Early Years and Schools blocks will remain the same as 2013-14. It is likely the overall amount of the High Needs block will remain the same. If there is any growth in the High Needs block it will be linked to increases in places and will probably be limited. Schools should note that, as has been the case for a number of years, no allowance for inflation has been included in the DSG funding provided to the LA to fund schools.
- 15. Schools should also note there is no automatic mechanism for the High Needs block to increase in response to an increase in numbers of children with High Needs/Cost SEN.
- 16. If Reading does experience a significant increase in numbers and/or needs of children with High Needs/Cost SEN, there could be significant cost pressures against the High Needs block. The LA will attempt to control these cost pressures in the first instance by seeking out efficiencies when commissioning provision. If the LA reaches the point where any further reduction of cost would have too much of a detrimental impact on the quality of provision for High Needs/Cost pupils, the LA will have to consider transferring funding to the High Needs block from the Schools block. This could in turn mean a reduction in the rate of one or more formula factors.
- 17. The LA would consult Schools' Forum regarding a reduction in one or more formula funding rates in order to transfer additional funding into the High Needs/Cost block during the meeting scheduled for the 16th January 2014 prior to submitting the final formula to the DfE by the 21st January 2014.
- 18. The working group established to support the process to the proposed new formula for 2013-14 was reconvened to consider the LA's proposed changes for 2014-14. Appendix 2 shows the membership of the group. The working group met on 30th September to consider the impact assessments and consultation. The minutes of the meetings are available by contacting Chris Pett.
- 19. Impact assessments of the proposed changes calculated by using the 2013-14 formula allocations using October 12 data are available with this document. Please note the figures are indicative and will differ from actual allocations, which will be based on October 13 data and could be constrained by available funds.
- 20. The impact assessments show the impact both before and after Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) protection, which has been fixed once again at the level of -1.5% per pupil. The effects of MFG for All Saints Junior School and John Madejski Academy are not included in the impact assessments, as information regarding the MFG of these schools is not available to the LA.
- 21. The effects of MFG protection are as follows: -

- i. It can prevent a school from experiencing a fall in funding below a certain level.
- ii. If a school already receives MFG protection a gain will only lead to an increase in funding once it is greater than the level of MFG.
- 22. The impact assessments show the impact of MFG protection on each change in isolation. To avoid excessive complexity no attempt has been made to aggregate the effect of different combinations of changes.

Detailed proposals for change

- 23. When implementing the significant changes required to the formula for 2013-14 the LA, after consultation with Schools' Forum and schools, applied the principle of minimising turbulence in the formula allocations for schools from 2012-13 as much as possible. The LA intends to continue with that principle for 2014-15 and, therefore, proposes to keep the formula as close as possible to 2013-14 with a couple of exceptions. The exceptions are where the LA was out of line with other LAs. The exceptions are the lump sum and low prior attainment factors.
- 24. There will also be an unavoidable change to the formula that is the result of a change by the DfE to the pupil mobility factor. The LA will have to use a 10% threshold before a school can receive pupil mobility funding. It should be noted that this change is mandatory. Appendix 3 shows an impact assessment of this change.

Low prior attainment

- 25. An analysis of LA formulae for 2013-14 by the DfE reveals that the LA is one of just six LAs out of 152 that did not use the LPA factor for both primary and secondary schools. The main reason for not using a LPA factor was the advice of primary schools Head teachers who cautioned against the suitability of EYFSP scores as a basis for the allocation of funding. Secondary school Head teachers did not raise similar doubts regarding KS2 results, which would have been used as the basis as the for the secondary LPA factor.
- 26. The LA mapped the 2012-13 LPA allocations to the deprivation factors in the 2013-14 formula, which was the nearest equivalent factor. A few Head teachers have argued a LPA factor would provide some additional funding to schools that admit a relatively high proportion of children with SEN, where these children are not from deprived backgrounds.
- 27. A new EYFSP was introduced from September 12. There will be two alternative LPA indicators for LAs to use for primary schools: -

a) LPA (78) which will be derived from the numbers of pupils in year groups 2 to 5 who achieved fewer than 78 points and pupils in year group 1 who did not achieve a good level of development or

b) LPA (73) which will be derived from the numbers of pupils in year groups 2 to 5 who achieved fewer than 73 points and pupils in year group 1 who did not achieve a good level of development.

28. The DfE have changed the LPA measure for secondary schools from pupils that fail to achieve a level 4 or higher at KS2 in English *and* Maths to pupils that fail to achieve a level 4 or higher in English *or* Maths.

- 29. The LA would like to consult as to whether it should re-introduce LPA allocations into its formula. The proposal is to allocate the same amounts that were allocated through the LA formula using LPA factors in 2012-13 (i.e. £482,007 primary schools and £309,388 secondary schools). The funding will be taken from the deprivation factors within phase.
- 30. Please see below a summary of possible arguments for and against using an LPA factor in the 2014-15 formula: -

Arguments for	Arguments against
Bring the LA more in line with other LAs.	Its use would cause turbulence with funding allocations.
Provide additional funding for schools with a relatively high number of children with SEN from non- deprived backgrounds.	Questions marks raised regarding the appropriateness of basing funding allocations on EYFSP data (primary schools only)
No concerns regarding the appropriateness of basing funding on KS2 results have been raised by secondary schools.	

31. Impact assessments are included in appendix 4 sent with this document. Please note the impact assessments do not include the effect of the new EYFSP as numbers of pupils in year group 1 that did not achieve a good level of achievement will not be available until after October 13.

QUESTION 1

Do you think the LA should use an LPA factor to allocate funds to *primary* schools?

QUESTION 2

If the LA decides to use an LPA factor to allocate funds to primary schools, which measure would you prefer: -

- a) LPA 78 or
- b) LPA 73?

QUESTION 3

Do you think the LA should use an LPA factor to allocate funds to *secondary* schools?

Lump Sum

32. The LA is just one of two LAs out of 152 with a lump sum below £50,000. As the geographic boundary of the LA lies entirely within the boundary of the town in

a relatively compact urban area, we would expect our lump sum to be lower than LAs whose boundaries include rural areas or for larger urban areas. However, as LAs have been given the option to use different lump sums for primary and secondary schools, the LA would like to consult schools as to whether the LA should increase the lump sum for primary schools in order to provide additional funding in the formula for the smallest schools in the area.

- 33. Some members of Schools' Forum have raised concerns the current level of lump sum in the formula would make the smallest schools in the area financially unviable. On the other hand small schools were protected from the reduction in funding in 2013-14 through MFG.
- 34. The LA proposes to set a maximum limit of any possible increase in the primary lump sum so that small schools are not funded any more than would have been the case in 2012-13. This would set a maximum limit of £88,196 for the primary lump sum from £48,480. This figure is derived from including in the lump sum the full amount of 'Taper' funding that would have been paid to the smallest school in 2012-13. An increase in the lump sum would be paid for by reducing the primary school 'per pupil' rate.
- 35. In 2012-13 the LA used a 'Taper' factor as well as a lump sum to provide funding to small primary schools. Within a specified pupil range the smaller a school the more 'Taper' funding the school would receive. As the 'Taper' factor could no longer be used in 2013-14, the LA allocated the 2012-13 total 'Taper' funding through the 'lump sum' factor. This resulted in an increase in the 'lump sum' of £4,032. The smallest school in 2012-13, All Saints Infant, received £43,748 through the 'Taper' factor. In order to restore small school funding to 2012-13 levels the LA proposes to increase the Primary school lump sum by £39,716 (£43,748 less £4,032). The impact assessment calculations suggest this could be funded through a reduction of primary school 'per pupil' funding in the region of £118.

Arguments for	Arguments against
Bring the LA in line with most other LAs.	An increase funded by reductions in 'per pupil' funding would cause turbulence with funding allocations.
Meet Schools Forum concerns regarding the future financial viability of the smallest schools.	The smallest schools have been protected by MFG funding so far with as yet no evidence of the smallest schools becoming financially unviable It can be argued a larger lump sum in a formula for schools in an urban LA such as Reading would lead to increased inefficiency as the incentive for small schools to seek economies of scale through, for example amalgamation, would be reduced.

36. Please see below a summary of possible arguments for and against increasing the primary school lump sum in the 2014-15 formula: -

37. Impact assessments are included in appendix 4 sent with this document. The impact assessments are based the lump sum increasing to the proposed maximum limit of £88,196. It can be seen from the impact assessment the

effect of an increase in the lump sum funded from a reduction in 'per pupil' funding would be to move funding from large primary schools to small primary schools.

QUESTION 4

Do you think the LA should increase the primary school lump sum?

QUESTION 5

If the LA decides to increase the primary school lump sum do you think the LA should increase the lump sum: -

- i. by the maximum amount proposed in the consultation i.e. £88k
- ii. by an amount halfway between the current level and the maximum i.e. £68k.

Next steps - results of consultation

- 38. The results of the consultation will be reported to the Schools' Forum members by e-mail together with recommendations.
- 39. The changes are an LA decision but clearly this is subject to consultation with Schools' Forum before senior officers make the final decisions on the formula to be used in 2014-15.
- 40. The Council must send the provisional pro-forma formula to the EFA by the end of October and final pro-forma formula, updated for October census data, by 21st January 2014.
- 41. The Council must notify maintained schools of their budget share for 2014-15 by the 28th February 2014 (post-16, EYSFF and Devolved Formula Capital notifications might need to follow in March).

Appendix 1 Allowable formula factors from 2014-15

1) Basic per pupil (mandatory)

Only one rate allowed for primary school pupils irrespective of age or year group. One rate or two rates (KS3 & KS4) allowed for secondary schools. Minimum levels have been set at £2,000 for primary pupils and £3,000 for secondary pupils.

2) Deprivation (mandatory)

This can be based on two indicators only: -

- a) Free school meals (straight or 'ever 6')
- b) IDACI data; or
- c) both
- 3) Looked after children
- 4) Low prior attainment

Councils must use Early Years Foundation Stage Profile for primary schools and KS2 results for secondary schools.

5) English as an additional language (EAL)

Councils can use numbers of pupils with EAL who have been in education in England for 1, 2 or 3 years.

6) Lump sum

As a change from 2013-14 LAs can now have different lump sums for primary and secondary schools.

- 7) Split sites
- 8) Rates (based on actual costs)
- 9) PFI arrangements (not relevant to RBC at present)
- 10) Post-16 (not relevant to RBC)
- 11) Pupil mobility
- A 10% threshold has been introduced for 2014-15
- 12) Exceptional premises factors (by agreement with EFA)
- 13) Sparsity NEW (not relevant to RBC)

Appendix 2 - membership of school funding review group

Charlie Clare/Lisa Saunders	Head teacher/bursar	Geoffrey Field Junior	Maintained primary
Chloe Callan	Bursar	Oxford Road	Maintained primary
Mary Pett	Bursar	St Johns	Maintained primary
Lisa Telling	Head teacher	Southcote	Maintained primary
Kate Dethridge	Head teacher	Churchend	Academy primary
Isabelle Sandy	Bursar	Kendrick	Academy secondary
Gail Jugg	Bursar	Prospect	Academy secondary
Ann McDonnell	Bursar	Blessed Hugh Faringdon	Maintained secondary

Appendix 3 - Impact assessment of mandatory change to the mobility <u>factor</u>

Assumptions: -

1) Allocate the amounts allocated through mobility in 2013-14: £187,757 primary and £ secondary £21,985

2) No secondary schools would qualify with Oct12 data, so set at zero.

			Impact	
Туре	School	Total new formula before MFG	Minimum Funding Guarantee	Total new formula after MFG
Pri	Alfred Sutton Primary	-1,793	1,793	0
Pri	All Saints CE (VA) Infant	-346	346	0
Pri	Battle Primary	452	-452	0
Pri	Caversham Park Primary	-1,901	113	-1,788
Pri	Caversham Primary	-1,728	0	-1,728
Pri	Christ the King RC (VA) Primary	-494	0	-494
Pri	Churchend Primary	-2,074	1,183	-891
Pri	Coley Primary	3,180	0	3,180
Pri	E P Collier Primary	-2,011	2,011	0
Pri	Emmer Green Primary	-3,457	0	-3,457
Pri	English Martyrs RC (VA) Primary	-5,358	0	-5,358
Pri	Geoffrey Field Infant	-2,074	0	-2,074
Pri	Geoffrey Field Junior	-2,308	2,308	0
Pri	George Palmer Primary	3,923	0	3,923
Pri	Katesgrove Primary	6,569	0	6,569
Pri	Manor Primary	-4,944	4,944	0
Pri	Micklands Primary	-3,632	0	-3,632
Pri	Moorlands Primary	-5,185	0	-5,185
Pri	New Christ Church CE (VA) Primary	1,818	-1,818	0
Pri	New Town Primary	3,103	0	3,103
Pri	Oxford Road Primary	-3,111	3,111	0
Pri	Park Lane Primary	-1,555	0	-1,555
Pri	Ranikhet Primary	8,031	-8,031	0
Pri	Redlands Primary	-2,420	0	-2,420
Pri	Southcote Primary	-3,802	0	-3,802
Pri	St Anne's RC (VA) Primary	-2,247	0	-2,247
Pri	St John's CE (VA) Primary	-2,592	0	-2,592
Pri	St Martin's RC (VA) Primary	-1,555	1,555	0
Pri	St Mary's & All Saints CE (VA) Primary	-4,494	4,494	0
Pri	St Michael's Primary	-3,802	3,802	0
Pri	Thameside Primary	-5,012	0	-5,012
Pri	The Hill Primary	-6,049	0	-6,049
Pri	The Ridgeway Primary	5,424	-5,424	0
Pri	Meadow Park Academy	886	-574	312
Pri	Whitley Park combined	43,150	0	43,150

	Total	(21,985)	17,916	(4,069)
	Total Secondary	(21,985)	5,963	(16,022)
	Total Primary	0	11,953	11,953
Sec	Reading School	-459	459	0
Sec	Reading Girls' School	-5,320	5,320	0
Sec	Prospect School	-8,407	0	-8,407
Sec	Kendrick School	-184	184	0
Sec	Highdown School	-2,736	0	-2,736
Sec	Blessed Hugh Faringdon Catholic School	-4,879	0	-4,879
Pri	Wilson Primary	-2,592	2,592	0

Non-recoupment Free Schools and Academies

Pri All Saints Junior

Sec John Madejski Academy

<u>cs</u>			
-173	Unknown	Unknown	
-2,756	Unknown	Unknown	

Туре

Pri Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Pri

Sec

Sec

Sec

Sec

Sec

Sec

Lump sum impact assessment

Assumptions: -

1) New rules allow for different lump sums for primary schools and secondary schools. Change the lump sum for primary schools only. 2) Primary Taper funding (2012-13 total £169,332) allocated across all schools in lump sum = $\pounds4,032$.

3) The smallest school (All Saints Infant) received primary taper funding of £43,748 in 2012-13. In order to guarantee no loss of funding for the smallest school from the Primary Taper factor change the lump sum would have needed to be increased by £39,716 (£43,748 - £4,032). 4) Figures below show the impact of increasing Primary lump sum to £88,196 (£48,480 + £39,716) and reducing the Primary AWPU to balance the figures.

Low Prior Attainment impact assessment EYFSP	
LOW FILDE ALLAHTING IL HIDAGE ASSESSING IL LIT SF	73

Assumptions: -1) Allocate the amounts allocated through LPA factors in 2012-13: £482,007 primary and £309,388 secondary 2) Reduce deprivation funding rates to balance budget. Balance within phase.

3) Use most recent data available for EYFS less than 73 for primary and KS2 results for secondary. Note the primary data does not include the new EYFS for Year 1 children as this is not yet available.

				201	13-14 form	ula	2013-14 w	ith increase	ed lump sum		Impact		2013-14 w	ith LPA 20	14-15 data		Impact	
DfE	No.	Cost Centre	School	Total new formula before MFG	Minimum Funding Guarantee	Total new formula after MFG	Total new formula before MFG	Minimum Funding Guarantee	Total new formula after MFG	Total new formula before MFG	Minimum Funding Guarantee	Total new formula after MFG	Total new formula before MFG	Minimum Funding Guarantee	Total new formula after MFG	Total new formula before MFG	Minimum Funding Guarantee	Total new formula after MFG
	2000 R-	-3050	Alfred Sutton Primary	1,694,140	17,020	1,711,160	1,682,835	23,243	1,706,078	-11,305	6,224	-5,081	1,701,330	9,830	1,711,160	7,190	-7,190	0
	3000 R-	-3052	All Saints CE (VA) Infant	282,368	52,176	334,544	314,325	18,291	332,616	31,957	-33,885	-1,928	282,543	52,001	334,544	175	-175	0
	2001 R-	-3054	Battle Primary	1,645,829	53,879	1,699,708	1,638,874	59,787	1,698,661	-6,955	5,908	-1,047	1,652,169	47,539	1,699,708	6,340	-6,340	0
	2233 R-	-3056	Caversham Park Primary	752,601	0	752,601	768,923	0	768,923	16,322	0	16,322	754,638	0	754,638	2,037	0	2,037
	2003 R-	-3058	Caversham Primary	1,570,589	0	1,570,589	1,557,167	0	1,557,167	-13,422	0	-13,422	1,569,591	0	1,569,591	-998	0	-998
	3305 R-	-3060	Christ the King RC (VA) Primary	1,342,921	0	1,342,921	1,344,548	0	1,344,548	1,627	0	1,627	1,343,791	0	1,343,791	870	0	870
	2031 R-	-3064	Churchend Primary	883,554	0	883,554	896,936	0	896,936	13,382	0	13,382	878,586	4,077	882,663	-4,968	4,077	-891
	2005 R-	-3068	Coley Primary	816,194	0	816,194	833,456	0	833,456	17,262	0	17,262	815,142	0	815,142	-1,052	0	-1,052
	2006 R-	-3074	E P Collier Primary	664,542	1,448	665,990	684,861	0	684,861	20,319	-1,448	18,871	668,962	0	668,962	4,420	-1,448	2,972
	2026 R-	-3070	Emmer Green Primary	1,645,796	0	1,645,796	1,630,141	0	1,630,141	-15,655	0	-15,655	1,648,385	0	1,648,385	2,589	0	2,589
	3304 R-	-3072	English Martyrs RC (VA) Primary	1,435,969	0	1,435,969	1,430,895	0	1,430,895	-5,074	0	-5,074	1,437,482	0	1,437,482	1,513	0	1,513
	2008 R-	-3076	Geoffrey Field Infant	974,748	0	974,748	987,778	0	987,778	13,030	0	13,030	975,771	0	975,771	1,023	0	1,023
	2007 R-	-3078	Geoffrey Field Junior	1,199,773	14,071	1,213,844	1,206,807	5,714	1,212,521	7,034	-8,357	-1,323	1,202,219	11,625	1,213,844	2,446	-2,446	0
	2255 R-	-3083	George Palmer Primary	1,723,911	0	1,723,911	1,719,542		1,719,542	-4,369	0	-4,369	1,717,538	0	1,717,538	-6,373	0	-6,373
	2226 R-	-3084	Katesgrove Primary	1,909,201	0	1,909,201	1,896,132		1,896,132	-13,069	0	-13,069	1,914,524	0	1,914,524	5,323	0	5,323
	2253 R-	-3086	Manor Primary	1,220,902	31,446	1,252,348	1,226,643	23,703	1,250,346	5,741	-7,744	-2,003	1,227,859	24,489	1,252,348	6,957	-6,957	0
	2234 R-	-3088	Micklands Primary	1,350,300	0	1,350,300	1,350,751	0	1,350,751	451	0	451	1,351,036	0	1,351,036	736	0	736
	2034 R-	-3090	Moorlands Primary	1,758,038	0	1,758,038	1,747,909	0	1,747,909	-10,129	0	-10,129	1,742,536	0	1,742,536	-15,502	0	-15,502
	2254 R-	-3128	New Christ Church CE (VA) Primary	808,091	42,132	850,223	824,177	21,587	845,764	16,086	-20,545	-4,459	807,079	43,144	850,223	-1,012	1,012	0
	2014 R-	-3092	New Town Primary	1,005,850	0	1,005,850	1,019,350	0	1,019,350	13,500	0	13,500	1,003,101	0	1,003,101	-2,749	0	-2,749
	2016 R-	-3094	Oxford Road Primary	986,757	46,725	1,033,482	999,081	28,993	1,028,074	12,324	-17,732	-5,408	995,890	37,592	1,033,482	9,133	-9,133	
	2021 R-	-3096	Park Lane Primary	1,539,579	0	1,539,579	1,530,155		1,530,155	-9,424	0	-9,424	1,541,118	0	1,541,118	1,539	0	1,539
	2248 R-	-3098	Ranikhet Primary	1,115,830	45,762	1,161,592	1,126,862	32,581	1,159,443	11,032	-13,182	-2,150	1,118,521	43,071	1,161,592	2,691	-2,691	0
	2018 R-		Redlands Primary	915,971	0	915,971	927,708	-	927,708	11,737	0	11,737	917,926	0	917,926	1,955	0	1,955
	2027 R-	-3102	Southcote Primary	1,496,061	0	1,496,061	1,489,693		1,489,693	-6,368	0	-6,368	1,495,349	0	1,495,349	-712	0	-712
	3302 R-		St Anne's RC (VA) Primary	746,058	0	746,058	763,672		763,672	17,614	0	17,614	743,799	0	743,799	-2,259	0	-2,259
	3300 R-	-3106	St John's CE (VA) Primary	1,211,428	0	1,211,428	1,214,348	0	1,214,348	2,920	0	2,920	1,210,854	0	1,210,854	-574	0	-574
	3360 R-		St Martin's RC (VA) Primary	541,528	16,101	557,629	564,080		564,080	22,552	-16,101	6,451	544,904	12,725	· ·	3,376	-3,376	0
	3301 R-		St Mary's & All Saints CE (VA) Primary	1,442,525	23,816	1,466,341	1,439,802		1,465,936	-2,723	2,319		1,446,100	20,241		3,575	-3,575	0
	2029 R-		St Michael's Primary	937,481	50,480	987,961	949,805		983,214	12,324	-17,072		936,680	51,281	987,961	-801	801	0
	2036 R-		Thameside Primary	1,331,092	0	1,331,092	1,332,013		1,332,013	921	0	921	1,331,312	0	1,331,312	220	0	220
	2019 R-		The Hill Primary	1,448,634	0	1,448,634	1,439,916		1,439,916	-8,718	0	-8,718	1,452,209	0	1,452,209	3,575	0	3,575
	2020 R·		The Ridgeway Primary	830,942	63,776	894,718	848,909			17,967	-22,113	-4,146	828,397	66,321	894,718	-2,545	2,545	
	2004 R-		Meadow Park Academy	1,101,338	574	1,101,912	1,111,546		1,111,546	10,208	-574	9,634	1,099,134	2,778	1,101,912	-2,204	2,204	
	3361 R-		Whitley Park combined	2,373,472	0	2,373,472	2,350,881		2,350,881	-22,591	0	-22,591	2,380,228	0	2,380,228	6,756	0	6,756
	2024 R-		Wilson Primary	1,215,318	6,868	1,222,186	1,220,001	0	1,220,001	4,683	-6,868	-2,185	1,221,600	586	1,222,186	6,282	-6,282	
	5411 R		Blessed Hugh Faringdon Catholic School	3,538,152	0	3,538,152	3,538,152	0	3,538,152	0	0	0	3,556,564	0	3,556,564	18,412	0	18,412
	4020 R		Highdown School	5,024,685	0	5,024,685	5,024,685		5,024,685	0	0	0	5,023,227	0	5,023,227	-1,458	0	-1,458
	5413 R		Kendrick School	1,968,397	315,184	2,283,581	1,968,397		2,283,581	0	0	0	1,966,329	317,252	2,283,581	-2,068	2,068	0
	5410 R		Prospect School	5,922,679	0	5,922,679	5,922,679		5,922,679	0	0	0	5,883,620	22,102	5,905,722	-39,059	22,102	-16,957
	5400 R		Reading Girls' School	3,110,254	168,623	3,278,877	3,110,254		3,278,877	0	0	0	3,109,418	169,459	3,278,877	-836	836	0
	5401 R	-3214	Reading School	2,448,429	113,905	2,562,333	2,448,429	113,905	2,562,333	0	0	0	2,445,327	117,007	2,562,333	-3,102	3,102	0
			Total Primary	43,919,331	466,274	44,385,606	44,070,522	315,103	44,385,626	151,191	(151,171)	20	43,958,303	427,300	44,385,603	38,972	(38,974)	(2)
			Total Secondary	22,012,595	597,712	22,610,307	22,012,595	597,712	22,610,307	0	0	0	21,984,484	625,820	22,610,304	(28,111)	28,108	(3)
			Total	65,931,927	1,063,986	66,995,913	66,083,118	912,815	66,995,933	151.191	(151,171)	20	65,942,788	1,053,120	66,995,908	10.861	(10.866)	(5)

Non-recoupment Free Schools and Academies

All Saints Junior

2002 Pri

Sec 6905 John Madejski Academy 33,133 Unknown Unknown

Low Prior Attainment impact assessment EYFSP 78

Assumptions: -

1) Allocate the amounts allocated through LPA factors in 2012-13: £482,007 primary and £309,388 secondary

2) Reduce deprivation funding rates to balance budget. Balance within phase.

3) Use most recent data available for EYFS less than 78 for primary and KS2 results for secondary. Note the primary data does not include the new EYFS for Year 1 children as this is not yet available.

2013-14 w	ith LPA 201	4-15 data		Impact	
Total new	Minimum	Total new	Total new	Minimum	Total new
formula	Funding	formula	formula	Funding	formula
before MFG	Guarantee	after MFG	before MFG	Guarantee	after MFG
1,701,762	9,398	1,711,160	7,622	-7,622	0
282,188	52,356	334,544	-180	180	0
1,649,469	50,239	1,699,708	3,640	-3,640	0
755,220	0	755,220	2,619	0	2,619
1,569,847	0	1,569,847	-742	0	-742
1,345,440	0	1,345,440	2,519	0	2,519
880,422	2,241	882,663	-3,132	2,241	-891
815,363	0	815,363	-831	0	-831
668,525	0	668,525	3,983	-1,448	2,535
1,647,357	0	1,647,357	1,561	0	1,561
1,438,552	0	1,438,552	2,583	0	2,583
974,069	0	974,069	-679	0	-679
1,198,949	14,895	1,213,844	-824	824	0
1,718,417	0	1,718,417	-5,494	0	-5,494
1,912,559	0	1,912,559	3,358	0	3,358
1,227,850	24,498	1,252,348	6,948	-6,948	0
1,349,848	0	1,349,848	-452	0	-452
1,741,482	0	1,741,482	-16,556	0	-16,556
807,766	42,457	850,223	-325	325	0
1,002,367	0	1,002,367	-3,483	0	-3,483
992,519	40,963	1,033,482	5,762	-5,762	0
1,543,331	0	1,543,331	3,752	0	3,752
1,116,333	45,259	1,161,592	503	-503	0
919,262	0	919,262	3,291	0	3,291
1,494,374	0	1,494,374	-1,687	0	-1,687
743,524	0	743,524	-2,534	0	-2,534
1,211,226	0	1,211,226	-202	0	-202
544,944	12,685	557,629	3,416	-3,416	0
1,447,045	19,296	1,466,341	4,520	-4,520	0
935,903	52,058	987,961	-1,578	1,578	0
1,332,434	0	1,332,434	1,342	0	1,342
1,455,484	0	1,455,484	6,850	0	6,850
828,741	65,977	894,718	-2,201	2,201	0
1,097,858	4,054	1,101,912	-3,480	3,480	0
2,375,088	0	2,375,088	1,616	0	1,616
1,223,707	0	1,223,707	8,389	-6,868	1,521
3,556,564	0	3,556,564	18,412	0	18,412
5,023,227	0	5,023,227	-1,458	0	-1,458
1,966,329	317,252	2,283,581	-2,068	2,068	0
5,883,620	22,102	5,905,722	-39,059	22,102	-16,957
3,109,418	169,459	3,278,877	-836	836	0
2,445,327	117,007	2,562,333	-3,102	3,102	0
			·		
43,949,225	436,376	44,385,601	29,894	(29,899)	(5)
21,984,484	625,820	22,610,304	(28,111)	28,108	(3)
65,933,710	1,062,196	66,995,905	1,783	(1,790)	(7)

2013-14 with LPA 2014-15 data

525	Unknown	Unknown
-39,785	Unknown	Unknown