

Donna Williams

Subject: FW: 55 Vastern Road, Reading 181724/PREAPP (CPDA input)

Importance: High

From: Markwell, Jonathan <Jonathan.Markwell@reading.gov.uk>

Sent: 22 April 2020 07:48

To: Caroline McHardy <Caroline.Mchardy@berkeleygroup.co.uk>; Craig Pettit <Craig.Pettit@bartonwillmore.co.uk>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: 55 Vastern Road, Reading 181724/PREAPP (CPDA input)

Importance: High

Dear Ms McHardy / Mr Pettit,

Further to our previous correspondence, please see below observations received from the CPDA at Thames Valley Police. I would welcome your responses to points 2 and 3 of the email below.

I hope that this information is of assistance to you and look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Jonathan Markwell
Principal Planning Officer
Planning Section | Directorate for Economic Growth and Neighbourhood Services

Reading Borough Council
Civic Offices,
Bridge Street,
Reading,
RG1 2LU

07971 015 688

[Website](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#)



The information in this e-mail (and its attachments) may contain data which constitutes 'personal data' or 'sensitive personal data' and it is provided to you on the understanding that you are (a) entitled to receive such data (b) that you will store and safeguard this data and (c) that you will take all reasonable care not to distribute this data to other parties not entitled to receive it – either deliberately or inadvertently. Furthermore, the information is provided on the understanding that it will only be used for the purposes that it was disclosed to (or requested by) you and you will safely & securely destroy / delete this data once it has been used for that purpose/s or otherwise store it in accordance with the guidance set down by the Council from time to time. Failure to adhere to these requirements may lead to a breach of the Data Protection Act, data storage requirements set down by the Council and could result in significant fines and / or adverse publicity.

In addition, please note that the advice contained within this email (and attachments – if applicable) is that of an officer of the Borough Council and is provided without prejudice to the decision of the Borough Council.

From: Chalmers Anne <Anne.Chalmers@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk>

Sent: 20 April 2020 15:24

To: Markwell, Jonathan <Jonathan.Markwell@reading.gov.uk>

Subject: FW: 55 Vastern Road, Reading 181724/PREAPP (CPDA input)

Importance: High

Jonathan.

Thank you for consulting me on the above. I have liaised with Police colleagues, reviewed Minutes of meeting 10 June 2019 and the Pre Application 6 November 2019 and response below

Observations

1. I thank the applicant for the inclusion of secure residential lobbies with postal services
2. I refer to Minutes of meeting 10th June 2019 Item 6.0., where I wrote that I had concerns that compartmentalisation may not be fully achieved within each block, The proposed design (open fire egress stairwell / lack of pedestrian pass door to carpark) or a secondary fire escape could allow individuals access onto and between all the residential floors within a block. The possibility free movement (excessive permeability), will not only negatively impact on the privacy of residents – by create opportunity for crime, ASB and raise the fear of crime.

The option to move freely between floors combined with the lack of natural surveillance within the core areas increases the need to maintain ownership of these areas via physical security measures. These areas can become problematic in terms of ASB.

For large Town Centre developments such as this it is critical that the design and layout of each block supports the implementation of robust access control). **This is a fundamental concern and I ask that the applicant provides details plans of how access control into and throughout each of the apartment blocks (compartmentalisation) will be achieved. I ask that detailed plans be submitted prior to planning permission being granted**

3. **Physical security** will be critical in creating and sustaining a 'Safe and accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime will not undermine quality of life or community cohesion'. Once the above has be resolved I ask that

I request that the following (or a similarly worded) condition be placed upon any approval for this application;

Prior to commencement of works above slab level, a written strategy for access control should be submitted to, and approved by the authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details, and shall not be occupied or used until confirmation of that said details has been received by the authority.

To aid the applicant I have provided the following as an aid to achieving this condition;

The system must provide compartmentalisation of each floor within the development, and from the parking level, and cover each of the following;

External Communal entrance: All external and internal Communal entrance doors should meet the requirements of the minimum physical security requirements of LPS1175 issue 8 B3 access

controlled via the use of electronic remote release locking systems with audio/visual link to each apartment. The system must record and store images for a minimum of 30 days.

Secure communal lobbies: Any internal door sets should meet the same specification as above.

Bin and cycle store doors and external sliding doors and roller shutters: These must be robust and secure, meeting the same standards LPS1175 issue 8 B3.

Car parks that aren't secure, are extremely vulnerable to criminal activities. They can attract anti-social behaviour, criminality and ASB associated whilst providing a place for the homeless to sleep or shelter. The crime, anti-social behaviour and the fear of such crimes can result in the occupants abandoning the parking facilities. Poor access control, surveillance and management practices can facilitate criminal activity in specific sites. I strongly recommend that access to the basement car park be made secure through the inclusion of electronic gates or shutters (LPS1175 issue 8 BR3 or equivalent). These measures must incorporate an access control system that allows the driver to operate the system without leaving the vehicle. .

The underground parking facility will incorporate communal entrance doors for the residential cores.. Access to private residential dwellings must be made secure. I would ask that that parking facility communal entrance doors meet the requirements of the minimum physical security requirements of PAS24:2012 and include electronic remote release locking systems with audio and visual intercom link to each apartment, capable of recording and capturing images of individuals using the door entry panel

Kind regards
Anne

Anne Chalmers | Crime Prevention Design Advisor for Berkshire | Local Policing | Thames Valley Police

Address: Taplow Police Base, 124 Bath road, Taplow, Maidenhead, Berkshire, SL6 0NX
Telephone number : 07800703324

From: Chalmers Anne
Sent: 22 November 2019 15:52
To: Markwell, Jonathan <Jonathan.Markwell@reading.gov.uk>
Cc: Chalmers Anne <Anne.Chalmers@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk>
Subject: RE: 55 Vastern Road, Reading 181724/PREAPP (CPDA input)

Jonathan.

Thank you for consulting me on the above. I have liaised with Police colleagues, reviewed Minutes of meeting 10 June 2019 and the Pre Application 6 November 2019. <https://berkeley-group.sharefile.eu/d-s056d0673c2f47a08>

From the above and my own records I note that both I and my colleague Ian Carmichael have previously provided advice and recommendations, which included access control and the ability to prevent unauthorised moment and access between floors. Given scale of this development, if robust access control is not 'designed in' to this development I would have concerns that access into/ out of the residential blocks would be excessively permeable providing a legitimate excuse for individuals to be in private areas where they should not be, creating opportunity for crime, ASB and raising the fear of crime

Observations and recommendations

Christchurch Wharf: Within previous Pre Application meeting, the security, safety and privacy that secure lobbies provided was discussed (Minutes of meeting 10th June 2019). Unfortunately, I cannot identify the inclusion of a secure lobby within several of the blocks. For example:



In order to prevent unauthorised access and to protect the privacy of ground floor resident I ask that the core residential lobby (lift and stair case) be redesigned to include a secondary security doors set (see blue line above) physically separating the outer postal service lobby from private residential corridors and doors. These in turn must be controlled by an electronic remote release system with intercom audio link to apartments. This arrangement promotes ownership and establishes defensible space, enabling residents to identify visitors and prevent unauthorised access in to their private areas whilst maintaining a safe and secure distance



From the plans provided with the DAS it appears that Coal Drop House" and "Goods Warehouse" blocks would also should benefit from the same design amendments, supporting the necessary requirements for Robust access control and compartmentalisation, I reiterate I only have the DAS to go from so if there are further revisions to these plans I would happily review them.

Compartmentalisation: I refer to Minutes of meeting 10th June 2019 Item 6.0., I have concerns that compartmentalisation may not be fully achieved within each block. The proposed design (open fire egress stairwell / lack of pedestrian pass door to carpark) or a secondary fire escape within the Goods Warehouse could allow individuals access onto and between all the residential floors within a block. The possibility free movement (excessive permeability), will not only negatively impact on the privacy of residents – by creating opportunity for crime, ASB and raise the fear of crime.

The option to move freely between floors combined with the lack of natural surveillance within the core areas increases the need to maintain ownership of these areas via physical security measures. These areas can become problematic in terms of ASB.

For large Town Centre developments such as this it is critical that the design and layout of each block supports the implementation of robust access control). **This is a fundamental concern and I ask that the**

applicant provides details plans of how access control into and throughout each of the apartment blocks (compartmentalisation) will be achieved.

Postal service: Can be a contentious issue, however if not considered at this early stage, it can have a significant effect on the privacy, safety and security of future residents.

I cannot identify how the postal delivery will be managed for each individual block or in some cases where the residential post boxes be? Best practice advises that Tradesman's Buttons (allowing postal deliveries) must not be fitted as unauthorised individuals can also use these to gain access to private residential areas (negating any physical security a closed door offers) The preferred management of mail delivery is either via external wall amounted letterboxes or via 'through the wall mail deliveries, if this cannot be achieved, the postal boxes must be located within a secured entrance lobby (as discussed above), with secondary internal access controlled communal entrance door, this allows postal services to be delivered into the lobby whilst ensuring the internal corridors and stairwells of the apartments remain private.

I would ask that addition information regarding the location of mail boxes and management procedures detailing the management of postal deliveries to residents is submitted for approval prior to planning permission being granted

Cycle store: The Physical security and access control of cycle storage facilities needs careful consideration. Where cycle facilities are insecure, or easily broken into, residents are likely to take cycles into the private stairwells and corridors of the apartment block, cluttering corridors, restricting ease of movement and creating conflict between neighbours. Insecure stores such as these can become problematic.

Double leaf door due to their design can be difficult to secure, as the active leaf is required to secure (lock) against the passive. If the locking for the passive has not been engaged or has become warn through daily use the doors cannot be considered secure .Given the size of the development ,

I ask that where possible private residential pedestrian access into stores be replaced by a single leaf LPS1175 Issue 8 door , if double leaf doors must be used they should meet the same physical specification, and be controlled by electronic access (fob or similar).

I ask that a condition is imposed on the applicant to secure all cycle storage facilities with single leaf self-closing secure door sets compliant with the physical requirements of (LPS1175 issue 8 BR3 or equivalent) with electronic remote release locking systems, preventing unauthorised access to cycle stored within. I ask that this matter be dealt this prior to planning permission being granted

Anne Chalmers | Crime Prevention Design Advisor for Berkshire | Local Policing | Thames Valley Police

Address: Taplow Police Base, 124 Bath road, Taplow, Maidenhead, Berkshire, SL6 0NX

Internal telephone number: 3345472 | **External telephone number :** 01865 309157

The information in this e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient to whom it has been addressed and may be covered by legal professional privilege and protected by law. Reading Borough Council does not accept responsibility for any unauthorised amendment made to the contents of this e-mail following its dispatch. If received in error, you must not retain the message or disclose its contents to anyone. Please notify us immediately quoting the name of the sender and the addressee and then delete the e-mail. Reading Borough Council has scanned for viruses. However, it is your responsibility to scan the e-mail and attachments (if any) for viruses. Reading Borough Council also operates to the Protective Document Marking Standard as defined for the Public Sector. Recipients should ensure protectively marked emails and documents are handled in accordance with this standard (Re: Cabinet Office - Government Security Classification).

