

From: [Craig Pettit](#)
To: [Markwell, Jonathan](#); [Caroline McHardy](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: 55 Vastern Rd, Reading (200188)
Date: 25 September 2020 10:29:17
Attachments: [image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image009.png](#)
[image024.png](#)
[image029.png](#)
[image030.jpg](#)
[image035.png](#)
[image036.png](#)
[image037.jpg](#)
[image038.png](#)
[image039.jpg](#)
[image040.jpg](#)
[image041.jpg](#)
[image042.jpg](#)
[image043.jpg](#)
[image044.jpg](#)
[image045.jpg](#)
[image046.jpg](#)
[image047.jpg](#)
[image048.jpg](#)
[image049.jpg](#)
[image005.jpg](#)
[image006.jpg](#)
[image010.jpg](#)
[image011.jpg](#)
[433.LAND.RP.001 Strategic Shared Cycle Footway.pdf](#)
[448.PL.BC.100.C.pdf](#)
[448.PL.D.100.B.pdf](#)
[448.PL.D.300.A cycle storage annotated.pdf](#)
[28876 A3 EF CP 20_09_24 North-south route policy note FINAL.pdf](#)
[47500-5500-005A.pdf](#)
[47500-5500-SK030.pdf](#)
[47500-TN06 RBC Highway 3rd Response.pdf](#)

Good morning Jonathan,

Firstly thank you for your email below and the information provided. Responding to your comments regarding the extension of time, I have spoken to my client and your position is understood. Through the successful engagement that is ongoing we are hopeful of a favourable recommendation at Committee and we will continue to work with you towards this date to ensure you have everything you require. My client has advised that they are able to agree an extension of time to 5th November, thereby allowing time following Committee for a revised extension of time to be put in place to allow for post Committee actions. We are of course happy to engage with you immediately following Committee, to ensure any additional extensions are agreed swiftly; likely to be as a result of a brief email exchange. We trust that this is acceptable and satisfies your previous concerns. I would therefore be very grateful of your confirmation that an extension of time to 5th November is agreed.

Following our meeting and the actions arising, I attach to this email the following:

- Strategic Shared Cycle Footway booklet (433.LAND.RP.001) – serving to more clearly explain the proposed route
- An additional Highways Response, prepared by Stantec (47500-TN06) and accompanying plans:
 - 47500-5500-005A (internal turning head swept path analysis)
 - 47500-5500-SK030 (Proposed Crossing)
- The following amended plans:
 - 448.PL.D.300.A (annotated cycle storage)
 - 448.PL.BC.100.C (Block B and C Ground Floor Plan)
 - 448.PL.D.100.B (Block D Ground Floor Plan)
- North-South Route Policy note, prepared by Barton Willmore (28876 A3 EF CP)

For clarity, I have included below the table supplied previously, which I have updated to include the attached.

In terms of the detail in your email below, this is currently being considered by the relevant parties and we will revert as soon as possible.

Echoing my clients comments below, the outstanding matters which we have not yet had the benefit of a response to are, viability matters and the report awaited from Element Energy. Whilst we note your comments in relation to both below, I would be grateful if you could please chase up on these and advise accordingly.

I trust the above is clear, however do let me know if there are any queries.

Many thanks

RBC (Steve Hicks) to liaise with BPS on viability in preparation for a meeting to discuss with BHOC.	RBC	Urgent
BHOC to advise of availability for a meeting to discuss viability with RBC/BPS during w/c 14 th and 21 st September.	BHOC	Sent – awaiting RBC response
RBC to review Stantec’s submission of 10 th Sept and advise of any further comments.	RBC	Received 15th Sept
BHOC/BW to prepare note relating to the north-south pedestrian/cycle route providing the justification and rationale for the route. To include a policy assessment of the proposals. Note to bring elements of submission together in one place to aid officers.	BHOC/BW	Included above 25th Sept
Stantec to prepare note in relation to Vastern Road crossing design and costing.	Stantec	Included above 25th Sept