

**From:** [Worringham, Mark](#)  
**To:** [Programme Officer](#)  
**Subject:** Update on matters after LPPU hearings  
**Date:** 17 March 2026 14:32:00  
**Attachments:** [Action List - post Stage 2.docx](#)

---

Jane

Please could you update the Inspector as set out below.

We wanted to contact you just to let you know where we are with some of the outstanding tasks around the LPPU examination. We have been working through all of the main modifications and other tasks discussed in the hearings, and we believe that most of them are complete with the exception of the following three outstanding items:

#### CC2 and H5

Officers have redrafted this as a single comprehensive policy, and it is currently with our consultants Bioregional for comment. They are hoping to have capacity to look at it very shortly, so this is something that we would be aiming to get to you in the next couple of weeks.

#### Water quality

There have been some capacity issues with our consultants Stantec in replying to us on the Water Quality Assessment. However, last week they confirmed that they would expect to have a corrected version to us (dealing with the technical issue around the licences) in 3-4 weeks.

Regarding the modelling, a price has been suggested which would require us to go through a full procurement exercise. Our processes take a considerable amount of time, and this could significantly hold up process. I have made contact with the EA to discuss our views on your suggestion of a potential early review to take account of the results of the modelling, and am awaiting a response.

#### Supporting text to OU1

We have been liaising with the Integrated Care Board on some draft supporting text to pick up their concerns. We are agreed on most of it, but there are one or two difficult matters remaining. I am waiting for confirmation from the ICB on whether they are happy with our latest draft or whether this is something we now need to pick up in a brief Statement of Common Ground which identifies matters that are not agreed.

#### Monitoring of EN19

We took away an action to consider how EN19 could be monitored. We are confident that a monitoring indicator around the proportion of planning permissions for major

and minor developments that exceed the score set out in EN19 would not present too great a burden on monitoring resources. A relevant target might be 80%. The difficulty would come with inclusion of householder and other applications, of which there would be too many to monitor within our available resource. We have drafted a main modification to this effect.

### General

I attach a completed Action List. I have three questions please:

- The Action List includes Inspector actions, which was our understanding of what it needed to do. Would you prefer that these were removed?
- Subject to the above, are you happy for it to be added to the Examination List in its current state, or prefer to wait until some of the matters above are resolved?
- Do you want the comprehensive list of main mods now, i.e. minus the CC2/H5 and OU1 amendments?

Thanks

Mark

Mark Worringham

Planning Policy Manager

Planning, Transport and Public Protection | Directorate of Economic Growth and Neighbourhood Services

Reading Borough Council  
Civic Offices  
Bridge Street  
Reading  
RG1 2LU

Tel: 0118 9373337

Email: [mark.worringham@reading.gov.uk](mailto:mark.worringham@reading.gov.uk)

